

**REMARKS**

Please reconsider the application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks. Applicant thanks the Examiner for carefully considering this application.

**Disposition of Claims**

Claims 1-25 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 11, and 17 are independent. Claim 12 has been cancelled in this reply. The remaining claims depend, directly or indirectly, from claims 1, 11, and 17.

**Attorney Docket Number**

Please update the attorney docket number to read "03226/508001; P6091".

**Drawings**

The Examiner has not formally accepted the drawings filed on August 13, 2001. Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to indicate whether the filed formal drawings are acceptable.

**Rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C § 112**

Claim 20 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 ¶ 2 for insufficient antecedent basis and for omitting essential elements, amounting to a gap between elements. Claim 20 has been amended in this reply to correct the antecedent basis to include the essential elements to remove the gap. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

**Rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C § 102**

Claims 1 - 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as obvious over U.S. Publication Number 20020103935 ("Fishman"). Claim 12 has been cancelled in this reply, so the rejection regarding claim 12 is now moot. Claim 11 has been amended in this reply to include the limitation, "a client aware content aggregation module coupled to said content locator service for aggregating client aware content gathered from a plurality of web-sites over the Internet for

presentation in a format suitable for said wireless client.” To the extent that this rejection may still apply to the amended claims, this rejection is respectfully traversed.

The present invention teaches an applications content locator module for locating wireless applications content over multiple web-sites pertinent to a type of client, and aggregates the content from multiple web-sites into a format suitable for the particular type of client. For example, when a wireless client makes a request, the server may scan several web-sites for the appropriate data using the applications content locator and then combine the data into a format suitable for the client using a client aware content aggregation module.

The Examiner asserts that Fishman teaches an applications content aggregation service, in response to receiving a particular client type associated with a particular wireless client. However, Fishman does not teach aggregating the content from multiple web-sites into an aggregated content in a format suitable for a particular client type. Fishman only teaches that mobile client data may be based on data received from mobile clients (*e.g.*, headers of an HTTP request, etc.) or based on the characteristics of the client. However, Fishman does not teach aggregating data from multiple web-sites into an aggregated content. Further, as the Examiner noted, Fishman teaches that the content is transformed to ensure that the content is appropriate for each client. However, the transformed content is intended to fit correctly on the display of the wireless client (*e.g.*, the display of a cell phone, the display of a PDA, etc.), and again, does not teach aggregating data from multiple web-sites into an aggregated content.

In view of the above, Fishman fails to teach the present invention as recited in claims 1, 11, and 17. Thus, claims 1, 11, and 17 are patentable over Fishman. Dependent claims are allowable for at least the same reasons. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Applicant believes this reply is fully responsive to all outstanding issues and places this application in condition for allowance. If this belief is incorrect, or other issues arise, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned or his associates at the telephone number listed below. Please apply any charges not covered, or any credits, to Deposit Account 50-0591 (Reference Number 03226.508001).

Dated: 12/21/04

Respectfully submitted,

By Ben Chapman L.J. 48,885  
for Robert P. Lord  
Registration No.: 46,479  
(713) 228-8600  
(713) 228-8778 (Fax)  
Attorney for Applicant